Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/320,950	GLOVER, JOHN N.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
•f	David L. Sorkin	1723	
All Participants: Status of Application:			
(1) <u>David L. Sorkin</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Ben Tobor</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 31 July 2003	Time: <u>5:15 PM Eas</u>	Time: 5:15 PM Eastern Standard Time	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	☐ Applicant's representative) No		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: not discussed			
Claims discussed: not discuss			
Prior art documents discussed: not discussed			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.		, · ·	
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The examiner will provide eparate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview	
Dail Sohi			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representa	ative Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner explained that while a CPA request was recieved 02 July 2003 the application (itself filed as a CPA on 22 January 2001) is not eligible for a CPA because it was not filed before 29 May 2000. The examiner explained that the request for a CPA cannot be treated as a proper request for an RCE because no "submission" was filed. The examiner explained that the Notice of Improper RCE (paper No. 29) inappropriately had box "2" checked, while box "7" should have been checked to indicate no "submission" was filed...